42P16807 -27- WWS/crr

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1 1. A method comprising:

receiving a request to prove that a platform possesses

cryptographic information from a certifying manufacturer; and

performing a direct proof by the platform to prove that the

platform possesses the cryptographic information, the direct

proof comprises a plurality of exponentiations each being

conducted using an exponent having a bit length no more than

1 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the bit length of the 2 exponent being at most 160 bits in length.

one-half a bit length of a modulus (n).

- 1 3. The method of claim 1, wherein the modulus (n) being over
- 2 1000 bits in length.
- 1 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the bit length of the
- 2 exponent being a constant value despite any increase in value of
- 3 the modulus (n).
- 1 5. The method of claim 1, wherein the bit length of the
- 2 exponent being less than one-eighth the bit length of the
- 3 modulus (n).
- 1 6. The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of
- 2 exponentiations conducted are of the form h^t mod P, where "h" is
- 3 a unique number, "t" is randomly chosen between an interval
- between 0 and W, "P" is a large prime number, and W is a number
- between 2^{80} and the square root of n.

42P16807 -28- WWS/crr

- 7. A method comprising:
- 2 receiving a request to prove that a platform possesses
- 3 cryptographic information from a certifying manufacturer; and
- 4 performing a direct proof by the platform to prove that the
- 5 platform possesses the cryptographic information, the direct
- 6 proof comprises a plurality of exponentiations each being
- 7 conducted using an exponent remaining constant despite an
- 8 increase in a bit length of a modulus (n).
- 1 8. The method of claim 7, wherein the bit length of the
- 2 exponent being less than one-sixth of the bit length of the
- modulus (n).
- 1 9. The method of claim 7, wherein the bit length of the
- 2 exponent being at most 160 bits in length.
- 1 10. The method of claim 9, wherein the modulus (n) being over
- 2 1000 bits in length.
- 1 11. The method of claim 7, wherein each of the plurality of
- 2 exponentiations conducted are of the form h^t mod P, where "h" is
- 3 a unique number, "t" is randomly chosen between an interval
- between 0 and W, "P" is a large prime number, and W is a number
- 5 between 2^{80} and the square root of n.
- 1 12. The method of claim 11, wherein the value "t" is of a form
- $y^e \mod n$, where "e" is a public exponent and "y" is either a
- 3 random or pseudo-randomly chosen number within an interval
- 4 ranging from 0 to n.

- 1 13. A method comprising:
- 2 receiving a request for information by a cryptographic device; and
- proving in a single direct proof that a value was signed by a signature key without revealing the value, the single direct proof comprises a plurality of exponentiations of which all of the plurality of exponentiations are conducted using a fixed exponent substantially less in bit length than a bit length of a
- 1 14. The method of claim 13, wherein the bit length of the
- 2 exponent being at most 160 bits in length.
- 1 15. The method of claim 14, wherein the modulus (n) is over
- 2 1000 bits in length.

modulus (n).

- 1 16. The method of claim 13, wherein the bit length of the fixed
- 2 exponents associated with the exponentiations are a constant
- 3 value despite any increase in value of the modulus (n).
- 1 17. A platform comprising:
- 2 a bus;
- 3 a network interface card coupled to the bus; and
- 4 a processor coupled to the bus; and
- a trusted platform module coupled to the processor, in
- 6 response to a challenge received over the network interface
- 7 card, the trusted platform module to perform a direct proof in
- 8 order to prove that the trusted platform module has a digital
- 9 signature from a device manufacturer and the digital signature
- 10 is valid without revealing the digital signature, the direct
- 11 proof comprises a plurality of exponentiations each being

42P16807 -30- WWS/crr

12 conducted using an exponent having a bit length no more than

one-half a bit length of a modulus (n).

1

- 1 18. The platform of claim 17, wherein the direct proof
- 2 performed by the trusted platform module is conducted with the
- 3 bit length of each exponent associated with all of the plurality
- 4 of exponentiations being at most 160 bits in length.
- 1 19. The platform of claim 17, wherein the direct proof
- 2 performed by the trusted platform module is conducted with the
- 3 bit length of each exponent associated with all of the plurality
- 4 of exponentiations being a constant value despite any increase
- 5 in value of the modulus (n).